A. PROJECT IDENTIFICATION	
PROJECT ID AND UNIT ID:	LAND OR TENURE HOLDER:
Eniyud Community Forest (ECF).	Eniyud Community Forest.
Primary Fuel Break (PFB) Clearcut Treatment Units: TU1,	Mark K2Z.
TU2, TU3, TU4, TU5, TU6 and TU7.	
COORDINATES (UTM E/N):	GEOGRAPHIC DESCRIPTION:
390704/5749004 - Tatlayoko Road/Old Bluff Lake Road	East from Patterson Lake Provincial Park to Tatlayoko Road along
intersection.	the Old Bluff Lake Road.
386648/5750786 - Highway 20/Smokey Lake FSR	North-east from Tatlayoko Road to Rifle Range Road and Highway
intersection.	20.
	North from Highway 20, along the Smokey Lake FSR to the south
	end of Marten Lake.
HIGHER-LEVEL PLAN(s):	MAP REFERENCE NUMBER:
Eniyud Community Forest Stewardship Plan (FSP). The	92N.087
FSP has an effective date of October 2012. All of the	92N.088
standards in this plan are subject to the requirements	92N.097
and exemptions in the FSP.	92N.098

B. TREA	B. TREATMENT UNIT (TU) SUMMARY (ha)										
TU1	TU3	TU4	TU6	TU7	TU8	TU9	TU10	NO TREAT MENT	WTP	NP	GROSS
18.7	4.6	3.5	21.9	9.9	36.7	25.7	10.4	2.7	13.5	7.7	155.3

These TU's have been delineated as either clearcut or hand treatment areas. They are separated into discreet units based on their locations across the landscape. Clearcut Treatment Units (TU1 to TU7) are discussed in this FMP document. Hand Treatment Units (TU8 to TU10) are in a separate FMP document.

Note: There is not a TU2 or TU5.

C. PROJECT D	DESCRIPTION	
OBJECTIVE:	PUBLIC SAFETY	
	ECOSYSTEM RESTORATION	RECREATION
		OTHER: Forest Fuel Management FBP Type: C3.
	C -1. SITE AND LOCATION	
	DESCRIPTION:	
	Two separate prescriptions have been developed Break (PFB). This Fuel Management Prescription (suitable using mechanical or a combination of me to TU10 and deals with fuel treatments that are s methodologies for each prescription are being us over the landscape. These constraints and charac Management Areas (OGMA's), Cariboo Chilcotin I Areas, visual quality, access, cultural values, timb	for the areas of the Eniyud Community Forest Primary Fuel FMP) is for TU1 to TU7 and involves fuel treatments that are echanical and hand treatments while a second FMP is for TU8 uitable using hand methods. Different treatment ed due the various constraints and characterises of the PFB teristics include, but are not limited to: Old Growth Land Use Plan (CCLUP) Buffered Trails, Grassland Benchmark er types and stand structure.
	Location: The ECF Clearcut FMP represents a portion of the the hand treatment units form the primary fuel b the Smokey Lake FSR, north to Martin Lake. The a Range, or the Smokey Lake FSR. Two separate pre	PFB and is located in seven polygons, when combined with reak which runs from Patterson Lake to Pinto Lake, and along area is accessed via the Tatlayoko Road, the Tatla Lake Rifle escriptions are being generated to cover the area within the

primary fuel break with varying treatment methodologies.

Site Description:

The PFB has been designated as a Primary Fuel Break on Crown Land that is under tenure with the Eniyud Community Forest. This PFB is at a strategic location at the community/forest interface.

The width of the PFB area of the clearcut TU's is approximately 100m and combined with the hand TU's, the width is approximately 150m to 200m.

This PFB has been designed at the wildland urban interface to modify fire behaviour and create fire suppression options including:

- reducing the risk of a crown fire reaching a community and/or adjacent fuels;
- being sufficiently wide and appropriately treated to break the crown-fire threshold and reduce fire
 intensity to cause a crown fire to move to the ground surface, reducing the rates of spread;
- linking existing fire-resilient natural features such as highways, roads, lakes, wetlands and grasslands.

In the clearcut TU's, stands are to be clearcut to remove all coniferous species such as lodgepole pine (PI) and hybrid white spruce (Sx). All deciduous species including trembling aspen (At) will be retained.

There are seven separate and unique clearcut TU's in this FMP. All treatment units have been delineated within this FMP that require specific management strategies for the various constraints and characterises found in each TU.

The treatment units are characterized by uneven-aged, multi-story stands of Pl. The overstory and lower layers are typically dominated by mature Pl that has been impacted by several Mountain Pine Beetle (MPB) infestations. Lodgepole pine is also heavily impacted by dwarf mistletoe. As such, the continuous die-off of dominant overstory stems has allowed for natural regeneration to fill crown gaps and create a multi-layered Pl stand. Significant disease is present in all layers and both dead standing and dead and down stems exists throughout. Trembling aspen is present in isolated clumps, and will be retained throughout all treatment units.

The clearcut TU's are within Provincial Strategic Threat Analysis (PSTA) Fuel Class (2019) of Moderate to Extreme. Wildfire Threat Assessment Plots in all units and have a Fuel Assessment Ratings of High to Extreme for the Central Interior Ecoprovince.

Following the development of proposed roads, this PFB will be well roaded.

Recreation use in this general area, either from foot, 2WD or ORV traffic is common and occurs primarily along 2WD roads and ORV trails.

The spatial dataset indicates two CCLUP Buffered Trails south of Martin Lake: CAR_27_3011 and CAR_27_3019, with an overlapping Buffered Trail Area (CAR_27_5492). These two trails do not exist on the ground and this portion of TU3 will not be managed as a CCLUP Buffered Trail.

TU1 overlaps Grassland Benchmark CAR_27_9571 and TU6 overlaps Grassland Benchmark CAR_27_9533.

C -2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Fuel Management Objectives:

Conduct fuel management treatments to reduce potential crown fire initiation, fire intensity and crown fire spread on areas near private properties, and roads important for ingress and egress. Other objectives include:

- Providing a safe location for suppression activities to be initiated;
- Providing a buffer that will cause wildfires to transition from crown fires to the ground;
- Improving access and firefighter safety in the event of wildfire suppression activities;

	 Improving the effectiveness of aerial and ground-based fire control actions;
	 Improving natural barriers that reduce the continuity of fuel loads, fire behaviour and wildfire risk;
	 Reducing the Head Fire Intensity to less than 4000kW/m through surface fuel reduction;
	 Increasing public safety within the community;
	• Demonstrating to community members and the public, the principles and practices of vegetation and
	fuels management.
	Maintain the prescribed fuel break as an active portion of the CFA THLB through future recruitment of
	healthy regeneration, to be managed through a specific maintenance regime with timber values
	considered.
STRATEGIES:	Fuel Management Strategies include:
	 Modifying stand structure to reduce fuels available in the event of a wildfire;
	Creating or improving crown separation;
	Falling and treating dead stems;
	Removing all thickets of regeneration
	Retaining deciduous stems in all layers.
	Reducing surface fuel material by debris piling and burning and/or chipping onto ground and/or
	grinding for offsite removal.
METHODS:	A combination of methods will be utilized to achieve the fuel management strategies outlined above. These
	methods may include:
	 Removing dead and danger trees;
	Clearcut all areas within the FMP.
	Maintain deciduous trees and shrubs;
	• Debris piling and burning and/or chipping onto ground and/or grinding for offsite removal.

D. SITE CH	D. SITE CHARACTERISTICS									
TU	CFFBPS FUEL TYPE	TIMBER TYPE	BGC SUBZONE, VARIANT & SITE ASSOC.	ELEVATION RANGE (m)	SLOPE POSITION	SLOPE RANGE (%)	ASPECT			
1	C3	Pli7At3(712)	SBPSxc	940-980	Lower to Mid	0 - 30, Avg. 10	Flat to south- east			
3	C3	Pli7At3(712)	SBPSxc	960-980	Lower to Mid	0 – 10, Avg. 5	Flat to south- west			
4	C3	Pli7At3(712)	SBPSxc	960-980	Lower to Mid	0 – 10, Avg. 5	Flat to Variable			
6	C3	Pli7At3(712)	SBPSxc	960-980	Lower to Mid	0 – 10, Avg. 5	Flat to Variable			
7	C3	Pli7At3(712)	SBPSxc	950-960	Lower to Mid	0 – 10, Avg. 5	Flat to Variable			
FUEL TYPE DETERMIN	ATION	Field analysis was o	completed to ensure	e the fuel types v	vere deemed a	accurate.				

E. SOIL	E. SOIL CHARACTERISTICS									
	DUFF		00 4 5 6 5	SOIL	SOIL HARZARD RATING					
τυ	TEXTURE	DEPTH (cm)	FRAGMENTS (%)	DISTURBANCE LIMIT (%)	Compaction	Erosion	Displacement			
1	SiL	2-5	5-20	10	Н	М	L			
2	SiL	2-5	5-20	10	Н	М	L			
3	SiL	2-5	5-20	10	Н	М	L			
4	SiL	2-5	5-20	10	Н	М	L			
5	SiL	2-5	5-20	10	Н	М	L			
6	SiL	2-5	5-20	10	Н	М	L			
7	SiL-L	2-5	30-40	10	Н	М	L			

F. VALUES – FOREST AND RANGE PRACTICES ACT VALUES AT RISK – COMMUNITY OF TATLA LAKE

Values at risk are those things that are important to quality of life and can be threatened with loss or destruction from wildfire and there can be a variety of values that are important for a community to rely upon for its well-being.

The ECF PFB is situated in the vicinity of the community of Tatla Lake. Values associated Tatla Lake include:

- Human life and safety;
- Private property;
- Recreation and trails;
- Aesthetics and visual quality;
- Environment;
- Air Quality;

Critical infrastructure including stores, post office, the historic Graham Inn, nursing station, school, church, community hall, electrical power, drinking water, communications networks.

RIPARIAN & LAKESHORE AREAS - Forest Planning and Practices Regulation (FPPR) division 3, Government Action Regulation
(GAR) section 6, Forest and Range Practices Act (FRPA) sections 180 and 181

Is the proposed cutting,	Yes		A W5 (id_1) wetland complex is located immediately west of TU8 in				
modification or removal of trees,			Paterson Lake Provincial Park.				
or site preparation, in an area that		A W1 (id_2) wetland is located adjacent to the eastern-most area of TU8,					
contains streams, lakes or			west of Tatlayoko Road.				
wetlands?			A W3	(id_3) wetland is adjacent to the south side of TU2.			
			A W3	(id_4) wetland is located immediately to the north of TU2 and west			
			of the	central section of TU8.			
			A W1	(id_5) wetland located immediately to the north of the central			
			sectio	n of TU8.			
			Martin Lake is an L1-B lake (id 4). The north end of TU10 overlaps the				
			Lake Management Zone (CAR 27 2227).				
			An NCD (Id 7) is located between TU2 and the No Treatment area.				
			An NCD (Id_8) is located in the central section of TU9.				
RIPARIAN MANAGEMENT AREAS (R	RMAs) - F	PPR secti	ons 51 a	and 52			
STREAM, LAKE, WETLAND ID							
		RRZ	RMZ	SPECIFICATIONS FOR RIPAIRAN OR LAKESHORE			
	CLASS	(m)	(m)	MANAGEMENT AREAS			
		、 /	. ,				
Id_1 (Paterson Lake Provincial Park)	W5	10	40	The block boundary is located outside of the RMA.			

	1	1	1	
				The portion of the RMA overlapping TU4 and TU8 will be treated
Id_2	W1	10	40	as per the adjacent TU's. The Reserve Zone does not overlap
				treatment unit boundaries.
	14/2	<u> </u>	20	The treatment unit boundary is located 5m or greater from the
lu_s	VV 5	0	50	treated as per the adjacent TU
				The treatment unit boundary is located 5m or greater from the
ld 4	W/3	0	30	W3 edge The portion of the RMA overlanning T112 and T119 will
1ŭ_4	~~~	U	50	he treated as ner the adjacent TU
				The treatment unit boundary is located 5m or greater from the
ld 5	W5	10	40	W5 edge. No treatments are prescribed within the RRZ and 100%
				basal area retention will occur within the RMA.
				2.2ha of TU10 overlaps the RMZ. No previous harvest has been
				conducted in the overlap areas in the last 20 years. The area
Id 6 (Martin Lake)	L1-B	10	100	under treatment is less than 10% of the LMZ. Clearcut harvesting
				is proposed within the Class B Lakeshore management zone of
				Martin Lake.
		•	10	Fuel management activities are to be conducted away from
Id_7	NCD	U	10	riparian features. Soil and ground disturbance will be minimized.
6 AI		0	10	Fuel management activities are to be conducted away from
lu_o	NCD	0	10	riparian features. Soil and ground disturbance will be minimized.
TEMPERATURE SENSITIVE STREAMS	S - FPPR s	ection 53	3, GAR s	section 15, FRPA sections 180 and 181
Are there temperature sensitive		No		
streams or direct tributaries to				
temperature sensitive streams				
within or adjacent to the				
proposed treatment area?				
ROAD CONSTRUCTION IN RIPARIAN	MANAG	BEMENT	AREAS -	- FPPR section 50
Is road construction proposed in		No		
riparian management areas within				
the treatment area or an				
associated road permit (RP)?				
STREAM CROSSINGS - FPPR section	55	-		
Will stream crossings be	Yes		There	are no proposed crossings on classifiable streams. There is one
constructed within the proposed			propo	sed NCD crossing located in TU2 to access TU9, south of the W5
treatment area or a road permit			wetla	nd system, at the central portion of TU9. This road will remain as a
road providing access to the			propo	sed road for emergency situations only and the spatial data for the
treatment area?			optim	um road location will be provided to the BC Wildfire Service.
MAINTAINING STREAM BANK AND	CHANNE	L STABIL	ITY ON	S4, S5, and S6 STREAMS - FPPR section 52 (2)
Is the proposed treatment in the		No		
RMZ of an S4, S5 or S6 stream that				
is directly tributary to an S1, S2 or				
S3 stream and the activity is likely				
to contribute significantly to the				
destabilization of the stream bank				
or the stream channel?			L	
DOMESTIC WATER LICENCES (inside	e or outsi	de of con	nmunity	/ watersned) - FPPR section 59
Does the proposed treatment		No		
area contain water sources that				
are diverted for numan				
r consumption by a licensed	1	1	1	

waterworks?							
LICENCED WATER WORKS (inside of	r outside	of a com	munity watersh	ed) - FPPR sectio	on 60		
Does the proposed treatment		No					
include areas that are within							
100 m of a licensed waterworks?							
FISHERIES SENSITIVE WATERSHED -	GAR sec	tion 14, F	PPR section 8.1				
Are any activities proposed within a fisheries sensitive watershed?		NO					
COMMUNITY WATERSHED - GAR se	action 8	DDR sort	ion 8 2 61 62 a	und 8/1			
Doos the proposed treatment		No		inu 84			
area include areas that are within		NO					
a community watershed?							
Will this project require road		No					
construction or deactivation							
within a community watershed?							
WATERSHED ASSESSMENT CONSIDERATIONS - FRPA section 180 areas with "significant watershed sensitivity"							
Does the proposed treatment		No					
area include areas that have							
watershed assessment							
considerations?							
SOIL DISTURBANCE AND PERMANE	NT ACCE	SS STRUC	TURES - FPPR se	ections 35 and 3	36		
	Propos	ed Max.	Proposed	Proposed			
	Allowa	ble Soil	Disturbanco	IVIdX. Dormanont			
Treatment Unit	Distur	bance	for Roadside		Comments		
	(%	%)	Work Areas	Structures			
	(5% o	r 10%)	(%)	(%)			
					Roadside work areas may be used to		
TU1, T02, T03, T04, T03, T00, T117	10)%	25%	7%	minimize skidding and/or forwarding		
		1			distance.		
Do the proposed Permanent		No					
Access Structures exceed 7% of							
		soction 2	7				
Does the proposed treatment		No	37				
area include areas where terrain		NO					
stability is a concern?							
SUITABLE SECONDARY STRUCTURE	- FPPR se	ection 43.	.1				
Does the proposed treatment	Yes		With the exce	ption of the we	stern-most area of TU8. lodgepole pine is		
area include a "targeted pine			the predomina	ant species in al	I TU's.		
leading stand"?			The pine leadi	ng stands do no	t contain suitable regeneration to form		
			secondary sta	nd structure for	the purposes of midterm timber supply.		
			The requireme	ents to protect s	secondary structure do not apply to		
			community fo	rest agreements	S.		
UNGULATE WINTER RANGE - GAR s	ection 12	, FRPA se	ections 180 and	181, FPPR section	on 69		
Does the proposed treatment		No					
area include areas within an							

Ungulate Winter Range?			
WILDLIFE HABITAT AREA - GAR sect	ion 10, F	RPA secti	ions 180 and 181, FPPR section 69
Does the proposed treatment area include any wildlife habitat		No	
areas (WHA)?			
OBJECTIVES SET BY GOVERNMENT		DLIFE - FF	PPR section 7
Does the proposed treatment		No	
area include areas to which			
objectives for wildlife under FPPR			
OBJECTIVES SET BY COVERNMENT			V OBJECTIVES (Landscape Level) EDDP section 0
Dees the proposed treatment		No	Kowwildlife trees will be retained where safe to do so
area include areas to which		INO	Coarse Woody Debris will be retained where practicable
objectives for landscape level			Coarse woody Debris will be retained where practicable.
biodiversity under EPPR section 9			
apply?			
OBJECTIVES SET BY GOVERNMENT	FOR BIO	DIVERSIT	Y OBJECTIVES (Stand Level) - FPPR section 9.1
Are considerations for maintaining	Yes		Lodgepole pine is the predominant species in all TU's. All conifer species
stand structure (wildlife trees,			present will be removed from the TU's in this FMP.
wildlife tree reserves, etc.), coarse			Fd will be selected as a priority for retention over Pl and Sx.
woody debris, and maintaining			Deciduous species will be retained. Herbaceous plants and shrubs are
tree and vegetation species			not targeted for removal or treatment.
composition incorporated into			Key wildlife trees will be retained where safe to do so.
this prescription?			Coarse Woody Debris will be retained where practicable to do so and
			preferably oriented with the PFB so as not to provide combustible fuels
			and facilitate fire spread across the guard.
RECREATION FEATURES - FRPA sect	ion 56 an	d 149, FF	PPR section 70
Does the proposed treatment		NO	The spatial dataset indicates two CCLUP Buffered Trails south of Martin
reareation trails, regreation sites,			Trail Area (CAP 27 5402) These two trails do not evict on the ground
recreation facilities that are			and this portion of T13 will not be managed as a CCLUP Buffered Trail
considered to be of significant			and this portion of ros will not be managed as a celor buriered mail.
recreation value and are			
designated a resource feature?			
VISUAL QUALITY OBJECTIVES - GAR	section 7	7, FRPA se	ections 180 and 181, FPPR section 9.2
Is the proposed treatment within	Yes		All TU's except TU3, south of Martin Lake, overlap a VQO (Visual Quality
a scenic area?			Objective) of Modification or Partial Retention.
			In a VQO of Modification, a visually altered forest landscape when
			assessed from a viewpoint that is representative of significant public
			viewing opportunities, will be:
			(a) large in scale and natural in its appearance, and
			(b) small to medium in scale but with some angular characteristics.
			In a VQO of Partial Retention, a visually altered forest landscape when
			assessed from a viewpoint that is representative of significant public
			viewing opportunities, will be:
			(a) easy to see,
			(b) small to medium in scale, and
			(c) natural and not rectilinear or geometric in shape.

			The primary objective of the PFB is fuel management.
			The TU's will not be easy to see, will be small to medium in scale and will
			have natural shapes with occasional rectilinear or geometric shapes.
			There are no viewpoints that are representative of significant public viewing opportunities near the PFB clearcut treatments. Potential viewing opportunities are limited to the Highway 20 corridor, Tatlayoko Road and Smokey Lake FSR. These opportunities are not significant as they will provide only oblique views with limited to no viewing time.
			Along Smokey Lake FSR, roadside management may be considered
			where the goal is to create an interesting and attractive roadside
			management zone that is neat, tidy, with little debris and waste and no
			ERESOLINCES - EPPR section 10
Are there any known		No	An Archaeological Impact Assessment (AIA) was conducted for each area
archaeological sites or cultural		NO	of the PER by Circle CRM Group. As per the Management
heritage resources that are			Recommendations of the AIA all known archaeological sites have been
important to First Nations within			excluded from the areas to be treated so as not to be impacted by
the proposed area?			forestry operations.
			If any cultural heritage features are discovered during operations, forest
			management activities will cease and the Alexis Creek (Tsi Del Del) First
			Nation, Ulkatcho First Nation and MFLNRORD will be promptly notified.
INVASIVE PLANTS - FRPA section 47	and FPP	R section	17
Is the introduction and spread of	Yes		Disturbed and roadside areas will be seeded with a Canadian registered
invasive plants likely as a result of			commercial seed mixture suitable to the area.
the proposed treatment?			
NATURAL RANGE BARRIERS - FRPA	section 4	8, FPPR s	ection 18
Are there natural range barriers		No	
within the proposed treatment			
area that are likely to be removed			
or rendered ineffective?			
LAND USE OBJECTIVES (Higher Leve	l Plans ar	nd object	ives set by Government under the <i>Land Act</i>)
Are there land use objectives	Yes		See previous sections where Land Use Objectives have been applied to
(higher level plans or objectives			the proposed treatment.
under the <i>Land Act</i>) that apply to			
the proposed treatment area or a			
Road Permit necessary to provide			
Do the proposed activities conflict		No	
with land use objectives (higher		INO	
level plans or objectives under the			
I and Act)?			

G. OTHER CONSIDERATIONS AND REQUIREMENTS						
CONSULTATION – FIRST NATIONS						
FIRST NATION			CONCERNS IDENTIFIED AND MEASURES TO ADDRESS			
Ulkatcho First Nation		No issue	No issues or concerns were identified.			
Tŝilhqot'in National Government		No issue	s or concerns were identified.			
First Nations consultation	Yes	First Nat	ions consultation complete?			
complete?						
CONSULTATION – GENERAL						
EXISTING TENURE HOLDERS (Forest,	Range, Gu	ide Outfitt	ers, Trappers)			
Tenure Holder	Con	icerns	Measures proposed to address licensee's concerns			
Trapline - TR0505T007	Yes		Tenure Holder has been contacted.			
			See Additional Comments section at the end of this document.			
Bange = BAN077609	Yes		Tenure Holder has been contacted.			
Kalige - KANO77003			See Additional Comments section at the end of this document			
		No	Tenure Holder has been contacted.			
Guide/Outfitter – 500976		_	No concerns have been identified.			
Cuide/Outfitter E0008E			Tenure Holder has been contacted.			
			Referral sent October 2, 2019			
PRIVATE PROPERTY		-				
Does private property border the	Yes		Private property is adjacent to the southern edge of TU10, near			
proposed treatment area?			the junction of Smokey Lake FSR and Highway 20.			
			Property pins have been located.			
			to discuss the PEB. Specific private property referrals will be			
			conducted upon initiation of treatments only and are not required			
			for prescriptive purposes.			
SMOKE MANAGEMENT	•	-				
Does a smoke management plan		No	Debris pile burning will be in compliance with the Open Burning			
exist for the proposed treatment			Smoke Control Regulation (OBSCR).			
area?			If piling and burning of debris is carried out, it will be in conjunction			
			with cutting where practicable. A test pile will be lit at the start of			
			each work day to determine if sufficient venting is in place. Crews			
			will be band niled and will not every diamin venting numbers. All piles			
			No piles will be lit after 2pm. Burning piles will be tended			
			approximately an hour before the crew leaves the site each day to			
			ensure that no major smoldering occurs during the evenings. Burn			
			reference numbers will be obtained prior to ignition.			
SAFETY						
Have any specific safety concerns	Yes		Recreation trails should be closed with barricades and signage			
been identified in or adjacent to the			during works.			
proposed treatment area?						
UTILITIES	1					
Are utilities located in or adjacent to		No				
ne proposed treatment area? I.e.						
power mies, gas mies, etc.	1	1				

ACCESS CONTROL						
Are there any foreseen issues with	Yes	Access contro	ols may not	stop all recreational users. Contractors		
access and access control during and		must be vigila	ant for recre	ational users during falling operations.		
post treatment?						
TRAFIC CONTROL		1				
Is traffic control required at any	Yes	'Active Loggir	ng' signage s	should be located on all access roads to the		
point during operations?		treatment un	it areas.			
OTHER						
Known Species at Risk:						
The Conservation Data Centre (CDC) w	eb map ap	oplication was searched for	or Species a	nd Ecosystems at Risk.		
The processing of any is puteride of any is	/4288) for	BC Blue Listed Species Ca	ribou (Nort	hern Mountain Population).		
no specific management objectives re	wildine Ha	this species	ated with th	is species. Outside of the WHA, there are		
No occurrences of Cariboo were noted	within th	e treatment area				
No occurrences of cariboo were noted						
TU10 overlaps the polygon (Shape ID 2	2484) for B	C Red Listed Species Ame	erican White	Pelican. This polygon is for Martin Lake.		
Pelicans use the north-east basin of th	e lake; loa	fing on islands, and forag	ing along th	e shoreline. The area under treatment is		
less than 10% of the LMZ for Martin La	ike.					
The prescribed area is outside of any V	Nildlife Ha	bitat Areas (WHA) associa	ated with th	is species. Outside of the WHA, there are		
no specific management objectives re	quired for	this species.				
No occurrences of American White Pe	lican were	noted near or within the	treatment	area.		
No species at risk were noted during t	he develor	oment and prescription pl	nases of dev	velopment.		
Potential Species at Risk:						
The BC Species and Ecosystem Explore	er was used	d with the following criter	ia:			
BC Conservation Status: Red a	and Blue Li	isted Species				
Forest Districts: Chilcotin Fo	rest Distri	ict (DCH)				
Habitat Subtypes: Conifer For	est – Dry;	Conifer Forest - Mesic	(average);	Grassland; Meadow.		
BGC Zone: SBPS						
Likely species to be encountered as ide	entified by	BC Species Ecosystems E	xplorer:			
Wolverine	•	Whitebark Pine	•	Olive-sided Flycatcher		
Barn Swallow	•	American Badger	•	Short-eared Owl		
Niountain Goat Sisher	•	Sharp-tailed Grouse	•	Double-crested Cormorant		
 Fisher Long hilled Curlew 	•	Grizziy Bear	•	opiand Sandpiper		
• Long-billed Curlew	•	Mountain Population				
		Mountain Fopulation)				
Breeding Birds:						
As per the BC Wildlife Act. Section 34, a person must not injure, molest or damage a bird or its egg, or a nest occupied by a bird						
or its egg. The nests of eagles, peregrine falcons, gyrfalcons, ospreys, herons and burrowing owls are specifically protected						
through the entire year under this regulation. In addition, the federal Migratory Birds Convention Act prohibits the killing of						
migratory birds directly or indirectly, or to disturb or destroy their eggs, nests or nest shelters during the breeding season.						

To ensure compliance with these Acts, breeding bird and nest surveys must be conducted during the breeding season (Mid March to late August) by a qualified biologist prior to fuel management treatments.

Grassland Benchmark:

The very northern extent of TU1 overlaps a Grassland Benchmark area (CAR_27_9571). The eastern extent of TU6 has overlaps with a Grassland Benchmark area (CAR_27_9533).

All treatment unit area overlaps with Grassland Benchmark contain forest cover and the overlaps will be treated as per the adjacent TU. As such, these areas are subject to completion on frozen ground, or with a compressible snowpack of greater than 30cm.

Grassland Restoration stocking standards, as listed in the FSP may apply to this area.

Eniyud Community Forest will employ tree cover objectives consistent with the Cariboo Chilcotin Grasslands Strategy – Forest Encroachment into Grasslands and Establishment of a Grassland Benchmark Area. Larger trees will be retained while removing lower layers; primary Sx and all PI will be removed with occasional Fd.

Retain 90% or more of large veteran trees (generally greater than 140 years old), where they occur.

Maintain a small number of stems for future large trees: non-veteran stems greater than 12.5cm dbh, if present, are to be maintained at three to four times as many stems as the total number of veteran trees.

Trees existing in lower layers such as 2, 3 and 4 will not be retained in this area due to the objective of maintaining a primary fuel break.

Harvest or remove remaining stems.

Minimize mechanical disturbance of grassland vegetation and soils.

H. STAND AND STOCK TABLE								
	Average		STEMS	PER HECT	ARE (sph)	VOLUME PER HECTRARE (m ³ /ha)		
Species and Diameter Class	Crown to Base Height (m)	Tree Height (m)	Existing	Cut	Leave	Existing	Cut	Leave
Layer 1 (> 12.5cm dbh)								
Species: Pl/At	2.0	11.6	380	304	76(AT)			
Total Dead Potential: PI/AT		11.6	200	200	0			
Total Live All Species			380	304	76(AT)			
Total All Conifers			304	304	0			
Total All Species			380	304	76(AT)			
Layer 2 (>=7.5 – 12.5cm dbh)			_					
Species: PI/At	1.5	6.5	240	168	72(At)			
Total Dead Potential: PI/AT			-	-	-			
Total Live All Species			240	168	72(At)			
Total All Conifers			168	168	0			
Total All Species			240	168	72(At)			
Layer 3 (>=1.3m – 7.5cm dbh)				-				
Total Live Conifers: PL/AT			2260	1808	452(AT)			
Total Live All Species			2260	1808	452(AT)			
Total All Conifers			1808	1808	0			
Total All Species			2260	1808	452(AT)			
Layer 4 (<1.3m)			_					
Total Live Conifers: Fd/Pl/Sx			2140	1070	1070(At)			
Total Live All Species			2140	1070	1070(At)			
Total All Conifers			1070	1070	0			
Total All Species			2140	1070	1070(At)			
Total Live Layer 1 & 2 - Conifers			472	472	0			
Total Live Layer 1 & 2 - All Spp.			620	472	148(AT)			
Total All Conifers (L1 to L4)			3350	3350	0			
Total All Species (L1 to L4)			5020	3350	1670(AT)			

TREATMENT SPECIFICATIONS							
SURFACE FUEL	Existing:	Target:					
LOADING (kg/m ²)	<u>TU1:</u> 2.0 to 8.0kg/m ²	Achieve 4,000kW Head Fire Intensity or lower.					
	<u>TU2:</u> 2.0 to 5.0kg/m ²						
	<u>TU3:</u> 2.0 to 8.0kg/m ²	TU1 to TU7: Reduce fuel loading, excluding Coarse					
	<u>TU4:</u> 2.0 to 5.0kg/m ²	Woody Debris, to less than 1.5 kg/m2 (ie 15t/ha) for					
	<u>TU5:</u> 2.0 to 8.0kg/m ²	material under 7.1cm and less than 3.2 kg/m2 (ie 32t/ha)					
	<u>TU6:</u> 2.0 to 8.0kg/m ²	for all surface fuels) on average to achieve fire behaviour					
	<u>TU7:</u> 2.0 to 8.0kg/m ²	standard in the 90 th percentile of fire weather.					
	Distribution:	Distribution:					
	<u>TU1 to TU7:</u> Scattered throughout the	<u>TU1 to TU7:</u> Lightly scattered throughout the units with					

	treatment units, but lightest loading in		but lightest loading in	most material under 7.1cm being removed.				
		TU2, TU4, TU5, TU7 and the heaviest						
		loading in TU1,	TU3 and TU6.					
		Significant 1000	hr CWD in some areas due					
		to 1980s beetle	infestation. Cured outer					
		shell, but less th	an 50% sound.					
			USDA: The Photoload Sam	pling Technique: Estimating Surface Fuel Loadings From				
		Method used	Downward-Looking Photog	graphs of Synthetic Fuel Beds. Rocky Mountain Research				
		to measure:	Station General Technical I	Report RMRS-GTR-190, April, 2007. Target tonnage				
	(0/)	Fordation and	thresholds may be adjuste	a in a prescription amendment once modelled.				
Crown C	losure (%)	Existing:		Target:				
		<u>101:</u> 5-28%		<u>101 to 107:</u> 0%				
		<u>102:</u> 5-28%						
		<u>103.</u> 5-26%						
		<u>104.</u> 5-26%						
		<u>105.</u> 5-28%						
		<u>100.</u> 5-28%						
BIODIVE		OPEST HEALTH C		ETC				
			ain vanving amounts of CMC	and large CWD (nieses less than 20em small and				
		diametre an	din varying amounts of CVVL d greater than 10m in longth	and large CWD (pieces less than 20cm small end				
		The primary	objective in the DEP is fuel r	nanagement therefore greater than QE% of dead stoms				
sph and	Distribution	will be feller	The primary objective in the PFB is fuel management, therefore greater than 95% of dead stems					
spiraliu	Distribution	Dead standi	Dead standing trees greater than 20 cm small end diameter that are felled may be left as CWD					
		after limbing	after limbing and bucking					
		Some large h	oucked and limbed stems (gr	reater than 20cm diametre small end) can remain as				
		elevated pie	ces to create furbearer habi	tat at up to one pile per hectare				
		Key wildlife	trees and any stems less that	n 5m in height that have Wildlife Tree Potential will be				
WILDLIF	E TREE	retained wh	ere safe and practicable to d	o so. Aspen is an important species for wildlife trees and				
RETENTI	ON TARGET	will be retain	ned.	in the second				
		Lodgepole p	Lodgepole pine dwarf mistletoe is endemic in all areas of the PFB. All understo					
		within the tr	eatment areas do not meet	free growing criteria and do not contribute to stocking.				
FOREST	HEALTH							
TREATM	ENT SPECIFI		NRY					
			TREE REMOVAL/RETENTI	ON STRATEGY BY SIZE/SPECIES				
TU			(Summarize specificatio	ons identified in table above)				
1 to 7	The purpos	se of these treatm	ent is to remove all PI stems	from all layers within the area covered under this				
	prescription. Aspen are to be left on site throughout all lavers, however aspen can be cut to facilitate the removal of							
	conifer stems. Retain all mature Layer 1 Fd in clearcut areas. Retain Fd regeneration in isolated small clumps or							
	scattered single stems. No known occurrences of whitebark pine were noted within the prescribed area, but cautior							
	should be exercised during eradication of lower layer stems to ensure regen of whitebark pine trees are retained.							
TREATM	ENT SPECIFI	CATION RATIONA	LE					
Prescribe	ed treatment	ts will:						
•	Clearcut all a	areas within the F	MP;					
•	Removing d	ead and danger tr	ees;					
•	Reduce surfa	ace fuels and bulk	flammable material to redu	ice fire intensity;				
•	Maintain de	ciduous trees and	shrubs;					
•	Where healt	thy advanced rege	eneration exists adjacent to	retained Deciduous, maintain regen up to a patch size of 10m				
	x 10m. Healthy regeneration will be assessed using the methods established within the Free Growing Guidebook.							

- Healthy advanced regeneration shall not be maintained within 30m of the established outer boundary of the treatment unit unless separated by a road running surface. A minimum of ten meters shall be maintained between retention of advanced regeneration and the road running surface to promote safe access and egress for BCWS ground crews.
- Reduce laddering potential through removal of regeneration and saplings;
- Reduce potential for running crown fires by creating crown breaks and reducing fire intensity by removal all overstory and understory.

I. TREATMENT DESCRIPTION

MERCHANTABLE TIMBER HARVEST

ROADS, LANDINGS AND TRAILS: Treatment areas will be accessed by existing landings, roads and trails. Proposed roads are located to access the clearcut treatment units TU2 and TU6 as well as a portion of TU9 south of the meadow/wetland. The portion of the road accessing TU9 will remain as a proposed road for emergency situations only and the spatial data for the optimum road location will be provided to the BC Wildfire Service.

FELLING: Manual and or mechanical falling.

YARDING/SKIDDING: Skidding will be on existing trails and roads. New skid trail development may occur as necessary.

LOADING AND HAULING: Either at roadside or at existing landings.

SLASH DISPOSAL: Either by piling and burning, chipping, mulching or dispersal on site.

SITE DISTURBANCE: Low.

SPECIAL MEASURES: None.

STAND MODIFICATION TREATMENTS

MERCHANTABLE TIMBER UTILIZATION: Was commercial timber harvest considered? Yes $\ oxtimes$ No $\ oxtimes$

If commercial timber harvest not prescribed, explain:

Commercial timber harvest has been prescribed.

BRUSHING: Deciduous species will be retained. Herbaceous plants and shrubs are not targeted for removal or treatment.

PRUNING: N/A

THINNING: N/A

DEBRIS PILING: Debris will be machine piled. Piles are not to exceed 2m in height and 3m in width.

Placement of piles for burning is to be done so as not to unduly damage retained stems or crowns and are to be located away from the base of retained trees.

Placement of piles for burning is to be at least 5m from any fence line so as not to unduly damage fences.

Lopping and scattering can occur in lower density portions of the treatment areas.

PILE BURNING: Piles will be burned concurrent with cutting and piling operations if at all possible or practicable.

CHIPPING: Chipping is considered an appropriate treatment where practicable. Chipping is a preferred activity where there is suitable 2WD access to debris. Where practicable, chips are to be blown into trucks for removal to biomass-fired generating facilities.

MULCHING: Mulching is considered an appropriate treatment where practicable.

MASTICATION: N/A

GRINDING: Grinding is considered an appropriate treatment where practicable. Grinding is a preferred activity where there is suitable 2WD access to debris. Where practicable, grindings are to be loaded into trucks for removal to biomass-fired generating facilities.

PRESCRIBED FIRE: Prescribed fire is recommended for ongoing maintenance treatments.

PLANTING: N/A

OTHER: N/A

AUTHORIZATION AND TIMBER TENURE

FRPA Section 52: A FRPA Section 52 will be used for Cutting Authority for fire hazard abatement. Merchantable volume will be harvested, brought to roadside, processed and delivered under FLTC(s).

Forestry Licence to Cut (FLTC): Merchantable volume will be harvested, brought to roadside, processed and delivered under FLTC(s).

Park Use Permit: N/A

Road Permit or Road Use Permit: The proposed roads will exist under a FLTC which will be competitively tendered with the volume to be extracted. This tenure will be held by the successful bidder for treatments. Those areas that are outside of the tenured area will be utilized under existing road permit or new amendments will be applied for.

Other (i.e. local government, utilities, etc.): A BC Hydro distribution line is located along Tatlyoko Road. Contact BC Hydro when work is proposed within the limit of approach of the distribution line. See Outstanding Works.

J. POST TREATMENT

EXPECTED VEGETATION RESPONSE: Pinegrass, herbs and low deciduous shrubs will increase. Conifer stocking ingress will occur.

ADDITIONAL TREATMENTS OR MAINTENANCE: Prescribed fires may be used at site-appropriate intervals.

SILVICULTURE OBLIGATIONS: Do silvicultural obligations apply to the treatment area? Yes 🛛 No 🗆 The target post treatment stand will meet Even Aged Stocking Standards.

PLANTING: Is planting a treatment identified in this prescription or required as a legislative obligation? Yes \Box No \boxtimes

STOCKING STANDARDS:

				Well Spaced Stem/ha		t (m)		Free					
					MINIMUM Height (m)			Gro					
			Pref.			Pref.			Pref.	Acc. Pli,	RTH	Regen	(yea
TU	SSID	Layer	Spp.	Acc. Spp.	TSS	& Acc.	Pref.	MITD	Fdi	Sx, Py	(%)	Delay	rs)
TU1 to	80186	L1	Pl	Fd, Sx, Lw	1200	700	600		Pl 1.4	Fd (0.6)		7	20
TU7										Sx (0.6)			
										Lw			
										(1.4)			
The areas	The areas that overlap Grassland Benchmark have no minimum stocking standards and reforestation will not be encouraged as per the ECF												
FSP													

K. Outstanding Works

Community Consultation:							
Engage in community consultation and communication, as appropriate, before and throughout the treatment implementation							
Final community meetings will be held with the TRA to discuss the primary fuel breaks.							
Completed: Yes 🛛 No 🗆	Date: October 2019	Initials: MT					
			•				

Harvest Authority

Arrange harvest authority (FLTC/Section 52).

Completed: Yes 🗌 No 🗌	Date:	Initials:
-----------------------	-------	-----------

Utilities:

It is recommended that BC Hydro be contacted when work is proposed within the limit of approach of the three distribution lines.

Proposed Maintenance Regime:

Maintenance required in 15-20 year intervals to involve understorey thinning, brushing and removal of flammable fine woody vegetation, and surface fuel disposal.

Prescribed Fire:

For the purposes of fine fuel management, the option to include prescribed fire throughout the maintenance regime should be considered. Prescribed fire has the ability to address the management of fine fuel loading which is anticipated to increase over time and should be considered during future activities within the primary fuel break.

L. ADMINISTRATION
PREPARATION
FOREST PROFESSIONAL NAME: Thomas L. Foley, RPF FOREST PROFESSIONAL SIGNATURE:
Homas L. Holey BRITISH BRITISH COLUMBIN WO. 3178
COMPANY: Consus Management Ltd.
MEMBER NUMBER: 3178 DATE: June 29, 2021

M. ATTACHMENTS			
MAPS :	Yes 🛛 No 🗆	FIELD DATA CARDS:	Yes 🗆 No 🖾
WUI WTA Plots and Photos:	Yes 🗆 No 🖂	CRUISE DATA:	Yes 🗆 No 🖾
AIR PHOTOS/IMAGERY:	Yes 🗆 No 🗵	BURN PLAN:	Yes 🗆 No 🖾
MODELING/DATA ANALYSIS:	Yes 🗆 No 🖾	OTHER:	Yes 🗆 No 🖂
TERRAIN STABILITY ASSESSMENT	Yes 🗆 No 🖾	VISUAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT	Yes 🗆 No 🖾
Completed By:		Completed By:	
Date:		Date:	
ARCHAEOLOGY IMPACT ASSESSMENT	Yes 🛛 No 🗆	BIOLOGIST ASSESSMENT Yes 🛛 No 🖂	
An Archaeological Impact Assessment	t (AIA) was conducted		
by Circle CRM Group.		Completed By:	
November 4, 2017		Date:	
A delition of Community			

Additional Comments:

Amendment 1:

This amendment was developed to address changes in the proposed road locations and area updates from the proposed road locations.

Trapline - TR0505T007:

The Tenure Holder has expressed concern over the location and necessity of such fuel breaks. The intentions of the fuel breaks were described and there was further discussion on the current location. The Tenure Holder expressed concern about the Old Bluff Lake Road and how this area is trapped for squirrels. Discussions regarding treatments were ongoing with the Tenure Holder to ensure that treatments would reduce the impact to small mammal species as much as possible. Consideration will be given to the retention of increased CWD where possible to ensure small mammal habitat is maintained. Smaller isolated accumulations of CWD should be placed intermittently throughout the clearcut area to provide sub-nivean habitat. The Tenure Holder was ensured that all deciduous stems will be retained to provide further cover for small mammals. Discussions ended with the Tenure Holder not happy about the location or treatments, but suggested that if the fuel break is required to be located in these areas then they understand. Due to the significant topography to the south and First Nations concerns to the south, and in order to ensure this PFB remains relatively straight, the current location is to be used and has been supported by the BCWS.

Range – RAN077609:

The tenure holder is concerned about road development into the central area of TU9 as this is near a wetland (Id_5) that is locally known as moose habitat. To address the concern, this road will remain as a proposed road for emergency situations only and the spatial data for the optimum road location will be provided to the BC Wildfire Service.

A range fence exists along the eastern length of TU8 in the area immediately south of Martin Lake.

Maintain integrity of existing fence lines at current effectiveness.

Should breaches in the range fence be required, authorization from the District Manager will be obtained as outlined in Section 51 of the Forest and Range Practices Act. In addition, if a breach is required during active grazing times, the range tenure holders will be notified.





